



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/829,674	04/22/2004	Anna Helgadottir	30847/2048-004	6838		
4743	7590 03/03/2006	•	EXAMINER			
	L, GERSTEIN & BOR	GOLDBERG, JE	GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE			
233 S. WACI SEARS TOW	KER DRIVE, SUITE 630 VER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CHICAGO,		1634				
			DATE MAILED, 02/02/200	DATE MAIL ED. 02/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summer		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/829,67	74	HELGADOTTIR, ANNA					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jeanine A		1634					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/2	22/04.							
	his action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	·	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) 又	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election rea	uirement.						
	on Papers								
_	·								
	The specification is objected to by the Examir								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 No(s)/Mail Date	98)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/829,674 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, 33-60, drawn to a method of diagnosing a susceptibility to myocardial infarction or stroke using a haplotype or polymorphism, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 25-29, drawn to a reagent comprising a nucleic acid, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid may be used in a materially different method. For example the nucleic acid may be used for isolation of nucleic acids, antisense methods, and aptamer screening methods.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Further a search of each of these inventions would not be coextensive of a search for each of the other inventions.

Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups Requiring more than one Patentably Distinct Polymoprhisms or Haplotypes:

SEQUENCE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

The claims are drawn to the detection of polymorphisms or haplotypes. Each haplotype is patentably distinct, as are reach polymorphism. The presence of one polymorphism is not indicative of the presence of any other polymorphism. Finally each haplotype has a different diagnostic implication and each haplotype is not obvious over each other haplotype.

Thus, each group named above is subject to further restriction. Each group detailed above reads on patentably distinct polymorphisms and haplotypes. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each group.

Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because the protein encoded by these sequences differ in structure and in function and in biological activity. Further, even where the nucleic acid changes have no effect on protein structure or function, these sequences themselves represent allelic variations which have different diagnostic and therapeutic implications.

For the claims drawn to a combination of polymorphisms or haplotypes a restriction is applied to each Group. As provided in MPEP 803.04, "Applicants will be required to select one combination for examination." The selected combination will be searched and examined. A combination may be as few as a single polymorphism or a haplotypes as the combination of all the recited polymorphisms. Applicant is required to specifically indicate the single combination desired. All combinations containing the allowable polymorphisms and any patentably indistinct sequences will be rejoined and allowed. Rejoinder will be permitted for claims requiring any allowable polymorphism(s). Any claims which have been restricted and nonselected and which are limited to the allowable sequence(s) will be rejoined and examined.

Applicant is further required to distinctly point out the location in the drawings, figures, or SEQ IDS of the instant application to which the elected sequence is drawn. Please include in the selection of a sequence or specific combination of sequence the SEQ ID(s), the Genebank numbers) (or any other identifier), the table or figure number, and the row or column location in the table.

This is <u>NOT</u> an election of species. Polymorphic nucleic acids are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the

Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that '[I]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a). It is noted that searching more than one of the claimed patentably distinct sequences represents a serious burden for the office.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the polymorphic nucleic acids and/or combinations of nucleic acids are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evident or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variant or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other inventions.

Notice for Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final

rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,674 Page 7

Art Unit: 1634

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0743. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272- 0745.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Central Fax Number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Jeanine Goldberg
Primary Examiner

March 1, 2006